

# BERKELEY MARINA FERRY FEASIBILITY STUDY

Workshop 2, Breakout Group 2 | August 10, 2021

## Breakout Session Notes

- Show recreation features on the graphic so people can better understand how ferry would impact their uses in the water.
- Recreation uses need to be analyzed much more rigorously, specific parking data, to see the impact.
- Ferry options on the north side are much better than those shown in January workshop.
- Regulatory concerns: BCDC and NEPA 4(f) analyses are needed.
- We had a pier for 100 years, why can't we use this project to get it back?
- Pier is used for much more than fishing, e.g., strolling, sightseeing, date night, adventures with kids, etc.
- We don't actually need a pier into the bay in order to have viable ferry service. See Tideline model. Smaller-scale ferry would have less impacts.
- Can we do an experiment with Tidelines to see how to encourage alternate transportation among passengers?
- Both parking options (A and B) are terrible and have huge impacts on existing recreational uses.
- BART Corridor Study may be useful for the Pier-Ferry Study in terms of shuttles and moving people to public transit.
- Electric ferries at Berkeley?